

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,661	04/07/2004	Paul A. Martin	SUN04-0234	8024	
57960 75	590 03/09/2009		EXAMINER		
	MICROSYSTEMS INC		-		
C/O PARK, VA 2820 FIFTH ST	AUGHAN & FLEMING LI FREET	_P	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DAVIS, CA	95618-7759				
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non Compliant Appeal Brief	Application No.	'' '	Applicant(s) MARTIN, PAUL A.			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	10/820,661 Examiner	Art Unit				
(67 67 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	P. Kim	2169				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>09 February 2009</u> is defective 41.37.	e for failure to comply wit	h one or more provisior	s of 37 CFR			
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file and 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this N	otification, whichever is				
The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	ınder 37 CFR 41.37(c), c	or the items are not und	er the proper			
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
claims involved in the appeal, referring to the s by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fail appeal and for each dependent claim argued s 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set as corresponding to each claimed function with	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejec	tion presented for revie	w (37 CFR			
6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	a separate heading for ea	ch ground of rejection o	n appeal (37 CFR			
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an	appendix thereto (37 C	FR			
other evidence entered by the examiner and re	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.⊠ Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):					

/darlene brown/ darlene brown 5712721559 Patent Appeals Specialist

c(7) The argument section must match the grounds section insomuch as each grounds corresponds to a heading within the argument section.

The entire brief is not required, only the section that was found defective.